

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/764,385	EMBREE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Theresa T. Snider	1744	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Theresa T. Snider. (3) _____.

(2) Gregg Jansen. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Theresa T. Snider

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Mr. Jansen to inform him that the declaration of 1/23/2004 is defective because it does not list a specific error of which the reissue is based upon. Mr. Jansen agreed to fax in a new declaration to correct the problem. Examiner inquired as to whether the court in the litigation case rendered a decision regarding the patentability of the original claims. Mr. Jansen confirmed that no decision was issued on the patentability of the original claims .